

Mr. GARD. Two hours will afford a very generous opportunity to enable one to get his dinner. I appreciate that. The intention of my question was as to what legislation the gentleman thought would be up.

Mr. MONDELL. We have several conference reports, and I imagine they will run into the evening.

Mr. GARD. In the opinion of the gentleman, it is desirable to have an evening session?

Mr. MONDELL. Yes.

Mr. WINGO. Can the gentleman give us an idea whether we will have evening sessions the rest of the time? I am not objecting at all.

Mr. MONDELL. I think it will be necessary to hold evening sessions from now on.

Mr. WINGO. I think that the gentleman's policy of taking a recess to allow dinner is a good one, and really will save time.

Mr. MONDELL. I am making it at the request of several gentlemen on both sides, who thought it better to have a recess than to hold sessions continuously.

Mr. WINGO. And for special reasons that would be the gentleman's policy for the rest of the week, would it not?

Mr. MONDELL. It would.

Mr. McCLINTIC. Is it your policy to have a recess every evening when you have a night session?

Mr. MONDELL. It seems to me that would be a better policy, unless gentlemen may express a different opinion.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wyoming [Mr. MONDELL]? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none.

DRAINAGE OF INDIAN LANDS—VETO MESSAGE.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to present a privileged report from the Committee on Indian Affairs of the House.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from New York presents a privileged report, which the Clerk will report.

The Clerk read as follows:

An act (H. R. 517) entitled "An act amending an act to provide for drainage of Indian allotments of the Five Civilized Tribes," approved March 27, 1914. (38 Stats., 310, Public, No. 77.)

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I yield five minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. McKEOWN].

Mr. WALSH. Mr. Speaker, the Clerk read the title of the bill. How is there any privilege to that?

Mr. SNYDER. There is a report attached there which the Clerk did not read.

Mr. WALSH. I think we ought to know.

Mr. SNYDER. There is a report there that should be read.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thinks the report is a subject for debate. It is a vetoed bill.

Mr. WALSH. The gentleman from New York did not say anything about a vetoed bill.

Mr. SNYDER. I supposed the report would be read.

Mr. WALSH. The Clerk read only the title.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, would it be in order to have the report read?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. SNYDER. Then I ask that the report be read.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will read the report.

The Clerk read as follows:

Your Committee on Indian Affairs of the House, to which was referred the bill (H. R. 517) entitled "An act amending an act to provide for drainage of Indian allotments of the Five Civilized Tribes," approved March 27, 1914 (38 Stats., 310; Public, No. 77), together with the objections of the President thereto, having reconsidered said bill and the objections of the President thereto, report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass, the objections of the President to the contrary notwithstanding.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. McKEOWN] is recognized for five minutes.

Mr. McKEOWN. Mr. Speaker, I would not at this busy period in the session have imposed this matter upon the House or asked the Committee on Indian Affairs to report it but for the fact that this measure is of vast importance to the people of my State, and the further fact that the measure does not do what the President thought it did in the statement he makes in his veto. In the veto message he stated that it seemed to him that this bill took away from the Indians some safeguards which did not appear necessary to be taken.

Now, this bill changes existing law in only two particulars. It strikes out the words "or the United States," on page 2, line 10, and on page 2, line 25, it strikes out this language:

Provided further, That in any event such assessments on any Indian allotment shall not exceed \$15 per acre, and no such assessment shall be made unless the Indian allottee affected or his legal guardian shall consent thereto and.

Here is the situation: The streams of Oklahoma as a rule flow from the west to the east. Oklahoma is made up of two Territories, the old Territory of Oklahoma and the Indian Ter-

ritory. This bill affects only the Five Civilized Tribes. Here is what happens: You can organize a drainage district on the old Territory of Oklahoma, and bring the drainage ditch down to the line of the Indian Territory, and there, because some individual Indian objects, you can not organize the district, and the result is that the water is dumped upon the land of the Indians and the white men who live across the line in the old Territory.

For that reason, and to cure that, this amendment was offered. The only change it makes is that it will not permit one individual Indian by objecting to defeat the creation of an entire drainage district.

I want to call attention to the fact that under the existing law there is no assessment against the Indian lands. The only provision is that in the event a drainage district is created and the Indian has any money here in Washington in the hands of the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary, if he approves the assessment—and he must examine and must approve or disapprove it, if he sees fit—

Mr. CARTER. And it is no lien on the land—

Mr. McKEOWN. And it is no lien upon the land at all; if he has no money—and a great number of them are in that condition—if they have no money here in the Treasury in the care of the Secretary of the Interior, it does not hurt them at all. But if the restricted Indians object, it does tie up all the drainage in the eastern part of the State of Oklahoma.

Mr. BUTLER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. BUTLER. Did the Secretary of the Interior approve the bill?

Mr. McKEOWN. The Secretary of the Interior in his report to the Senate approved the bill as it passed the House and as it went to the Senate, and no objection was called to my attention to the form it passed finally.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER. The question is, Shall the House pass the bill, the views of the President to the contrary notwithstanding? Those in favor of so passing the bill will, when their names are called, answer "yea," those opposed will answer "nay."

The question was taken; and there were—yeas 323, nays 18, answered "present" 1, not voting 86, as follows:

YEAS—323.

Ackerman	Denison	Hernandez	McAndrews
Almon	Dent	Hersey	McArthur
Anderson	Dewalt	Hickey	McClintic
Andrews, Md.	Dickinson, Iowa	Hicks	McDuffie
Andrews, Nebr.	Dickinson, Mo.	Hill	McFadden
Ashbrook	Dominick	Hoch	McKenzie
Ayres	Dooling	Hoyer	McKeown
Babka	Dowell	Holland	McLaughlin, Mich.
Barbour	Drane	Houghton	McLaughlin, Nebr.
Earkley	Dunbar	Howard	McLeod
Bell	Dupré	Hudspeth	McPherson
Benson	Dyer	Hulings	MacGregor
Black	Egan	Hull, Iowa	Magee
Bland, Ind.	Echols	Humphreys	Major
Bland, Va.	Elliott	Husted	Mann, Ill.
Boles	Elston	Hutchinson	Mansfield
Bowers	Emerson	Igoe	Mapes
Bowling	Esch	Ireland	Martin
Box	Evans, Nebr.	Jacoway	Mason
Brand	Evans, Nev.	James, Va.	Mays
Briggs	Fairfield	Jefferis	Merritt
Brisson	Ferris	Johnson, Ky.	Michener
Brooks, Ill.	Fields	Johnson, Miss.	Miller
Brooks, Pa.	Fisher	Johnson, Wash.	Minahan, N. J.
Browne	Flood	Jones, Pa.	Monahan, Wis.
Buchanan	Focht	Juul	Mondell
Burdick	Fordney	Kahn	Montague
Burrroughs	Foster	Kearns	Moore, Ohio
Butler	Frear	Keller	Moore, Va.
Byrnes, S. C.	Freeman	Kelley, Mich.	Mott
Byrnes, Tenn.	French	Kelly, Pa.	Murphy
Caldwell	Fuller	Kendall	Neely
Campbell, Kans.	Gallivan	Kennedy, R. I.	Nelson, Mo.
Campbell, Pa.	Ganly	Kettner	Nelson, Wis.
Caraway	Glynn	Kincheloe	Newton, Minn.
Carew	Godwin, N. C.	King	Newton, Mo.
Carter	Good	Kinkaid	Nicholls
Chindblom	Goodall	Knutson	Nolan
Christopherson	Goodykoontz	Kraus	O'Connell
Coady	Gould	Kreider	O'Connor
Cole	Graham, Ill.	Lampert	Ogden
Coiller	Green, Iowa	Langley	Oldfield
Cooper	Greene, Mass.	Lanham	Oliver
Copley	Greene, Vt.	Lankford	Olney
Crago	Griest	Larsen	Osborne
Cramton	Griffin	Layton	Overstreet
Crisp	Hadley	Lazaro	Padgett
Cullen	Hardy, Colo.	Lea, Calif.	Page
Currie, Mich.	Hardy, Tex.	Leshner	Park
Dale	Harrel	Linthicum	Parrish
Dallinger	Harrison	Little	Patterson
Darrow	Hastings	Longworth	Peters
Davis, Minn.	Haugen	Luce	Phelan
Davis, Tenn.	Hawley	Lufkin	
Dempsey	Hayden	Luhring	

Porter	Rucker	Stephens, Miss.	Winson
Pou	Sanders, Ind.	Stephens, Ohio	Voigt
Purnell	Sanders, La.	Stevenson	Voik
Quin	Sanders, N. Y.	Stiness	Volstead
Radcliffe	Sanford	Strong, Kans.	Ward
Raker	Schall	Strong, Pa.	Wason
Ramsey	Scott	Summers, Wash.	Watkins
Ramseyer	Sells	Sweet	Watson
Randall, Calif.	Sherwood	Swindall	Weaver
Randall, Wis.	Shreve	Swope	Welling
Ransley	Sinclair	Tague	Wetty
Rayburn	Sinnott	Taylor, Ark.	Whaley
Reavis	Sisson	Taylor, Colo.	White, Kans.
Reber	Slomp	Taylor, Tenn.	White, Me.
Reed, N. Y.	Smith, Idaho	Temple	Williams
Reed, W. Va.	Smith, Ill.	Tillman	Wilson, Ia.
Rhodes	Smith, Mich.	Tilson	Wilson, Pa.
Ricketts	Smith, N. Y.	Timberlake	Wingo
Riddick	Smithwick	Fincher	Wingslow
Robinson, N. C.	Snell	Pinkham	Wood, Ind.
Rodenberg	Snyder	Towner	Woods, Va.
Rogers	Stegall	Treadway	Wright
Romjue	Stedman	Upshaw	Yates
Rose	Steele	Vaile	Young, N. Dak.
Rouse	Steenerson	Venable	Young, Tex.
Rowe		Vestal	

NAYS—18.

Aswell	Drewry	Lee, Ga.	Stoll
Begg	Evans, Mont.	Madden	Sullivan
Blanton	Gallagher	Pell	Waish
Carss	Gard	Sims	
Cleary	Jones, Tex.	Small	

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1.

Huddleston

NOT VOTING—86.

Anthony	Donovan	Johnston, N. Y.	Rainey, Henry T.
Bacharach	Doremus	Kennedy, Iowa	Rainey, John W.
Baer	Doughton	Kiess	Riordan
Bankhead	Dunn	Kitchin	Robison, Ky.
Bee	Eagle	Klecza	Rowan
Benham	Edmonds	Lehlbach	Rubey
Bland, Mo.	Ellsworth	Loneragan	Sabath
Britten	Fess	McCulloch	Scully
Brumbaugh	Fish	McGlennon	Sears
Burke	Gandy	McKinley	Sumners, Tex.
Candler	Garnar	McKinley	Thomas
Cannon	Garrett	McLane	Thompson
Cantrill	Goldfogle	Mahar	Vare
Casey	Goodwin, Ark.	Mann, S. C.	Walters
Clark, Fla.	Graham, Pa.	Mead	Webster
Clark, Mo.	Hamil	Milligan	Wheeler
Classon	Hamilton	Moon	Wingard, Ill.
Connally	Hays	Mooney	Wise
Costello	Hersman	Moore, Ind.	Woodyard
Crowther	Hull, Tenn.	Mudd	Zihlman
Curry, Calif.	James, Mich.	Perlman	
Davey	Johnson, S. Dak.	Rainey, Ala.	

So, two-thirds voting in the affirmative, the bill was passed, the objections of the President to the contrary notwithstanding.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

Mr. DUNN with Mr. CLARK of Missouri.
 Mr. FESS with Mr. GARRETT.
 Mr. CANNON with Mr. CLARK of Florida.
 Mr. BRITEN with Mr. CANDLER.
 Mr. ZIHLMAN with Mr. HERSMAN.
 Mr. LEHLBACH with Mr. CONNALLY.
 Mr. THOMPSON with Mr. SUMNERS of Texas.
 Mr. MUDD with Mr. HENRY T. RAINEY.
 Mr. WILSON of Illinois with Mr. BEE.
 Mr. CROWTHER with Mr. BANKHEAD.
 Mr. ANTHONY with Mr. RUBEY.
 Mr. WOODYARD with Mr. CANTRILL.
 Mr. EDMONDS with Mr. CASEY.
 Mr. HAMILTON with Mr. BRUMBAUGH.
 Mr. KENNEDY of Iowa with Mr. GOODWIN of Arkansas.
 Mr. WHEELER with Mr. JOHN W. RAINEY.
 Mr. MOORES of Indiana with Mr. KITCHIN.
 Mr. MCKINLEY with Mr. HULL of Tennessee.
 Mr. FISH with Mr. GANDY.
 Mr. COSTELLO with Mr. DOREMUS.
 Mr. BAER with Mr. DAVEY.
 Mr. WEBSTER with Mr. RIORDAN.
 Mr. WALTERS with Mr. THOMAS.
 Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota with Mr. JOHNSTON of New York.
 Mr. PERLMAN with Mr. MILLIGAN.
 Mr. ROBISON of Kentucky with Mr. MCGLENNON.
 Mr. KLECZKA with Mr. SEARS.
 Mr. CURRY of California with Mr. MOON.
 Mr. VARE with Mr. RAINEY of Alabama.
 Mr. JOHNSON of Washington with Mr. WISE.
 Mr. MCCULLOCH with Mr. MEAD.
 Mr. GRAHAM of Pennsylvania with Mr. MAHER.
 Mr. BURKE with Mr. DOUGHTON.
 Mr. ELLSWORTH with Mr. SABATH.
 Mr. KIESS with Mr. GARNER.
 Mr. CLASSON with Mr. DONOVAN.

Mr. BACHARACH with Mr. GOLDFOGLE.
 Mr. JAMES of Michigan with Mr. MOONEY.
 Mr. BENHAM with Mr. MCKINLEY.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE.

A message from the Senate, by Mr. Crockett, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed bill of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives was requested:

S. 5030. An act authorizing the city of New Orleans, La., to extend Dauphin Street, in said city, across the United States military reservation known as the Jackson Barracks.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed with amendments the bill (H. R. 15812) making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1922, had requested a conference with the House of Representatives, and had appointed Mr. GRONNA, Mr. KENYON, Mr. McNARY, Mr. GORE, and Mr. SMITH of South Carolina as the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate had agreed to the amendment of the House of Representatives to the joint resolution (S. J. Res. 161) to exempt the New York State Barge Canal from the provisions of section 201 of H. R. 10453.

The message also announced that the Senate had agreed to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 15632) making appropriations for the current and contingent expenses of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, for fulfilling treaty stipulations with various Indian tribes, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1922; had agreed to the amendments of the House to the amendments of the Senate numbered 14, 17, 20, 25, 26, 27, 32, 40, 42, 43, 60, 61, 62, 64, 82, 94, 95, 101, 102, 109, 129, and 130; had receded from its amendments numbered 53, 65, 83, 104, and 106.

The message also announced that the Senate had agreed to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments by the Senate to the bill (H. R. 15962) making appropriations to supply deficiencies in appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1921, and prior fiscal years, and for other purposes, had agreed to the amendments of the House to the amendments of the Senate numbered 40, 52, 53, and 62, and had receded from its amendment numbered 33.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed without amendment House joint resolution 465, for the appointment of one member of the Board of Managers of the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers.

The message also announced that the Senate had further insisted upon its amendments to the bill (H. R. 9521) to prevent hoarding and deterioration of and deception with respect to cold-storage foods, to regulate shipments of cold-storage foods in interstate commerce, and for other purposes, disagreed to by the House of Representatives, had asked a further conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and had appointed Mr. GRONNA, Mr. McNARY, and Mr. SMITH of South Carolina as the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate had agreed to the reports of committees of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to bills of the following titles:

H. R. 10515. An act granting pensions and increase of pensions to certain soldiers and sailors of the Regular Army and Navy, and certain soldiers and sailors of wars other than the Civil War, and to widows of such soldiers and sailors;

H. R. 9281. An act granting pensions and increase of pensions to certain soldiers and sailors of the Regular Army and Navy, and certain soldiers and sailors of wars other than the Civil War, and to widows of such soldiers and sailors;

H. R. 11554. An act granting pensions and increase of pensions to certain soldiers and sailors of the Regular Army and Navy, and certain soldiers and sailors of wars other than the Civil War, and to widows of such soldiers and sailors; and

H. R. 7775. An act granting pensions and increase of pensions to certain soldiers and sailors of the Regular Army and Navy, and certain soldiers and sailors of wars other than the Civil War, and to widows of such soldiers and sailors.

POST OFFICE APPROPRIATION.

Mr. MADDEN. Mr. Speaker, I call up the conference report on the Post Office appropriation bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Illinois calls up the conference report on the Post Office appropriation bill. When the House adjourned last night the vote was being taken on amendment No. 12, which the Clerk will report.